INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Application No PCT/GB2004/001683

			/ db2004/ 001003	
A. CLASSIF IPC 7	RICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A45D26/00 A45D27/44			
According to	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ion and IPC		
B. FIELDS				
IPC 7	cumentation searched (classification system followed by classification A45D			
	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that su			
	ata base consulted during the International search (name of data base ternal, WPI Data, PAJ	e and, where practical, search	terms used)	
C. DOCUME	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	vant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
P,X	GB 2 384 704 A (BOOTS CO PLC) 6 August 2003 (2003-08-06) page 2, line 3 - line 5 page 4, line 12 - page 5, line 15 figures	; claims;	1–12	
A	GB 2 364 502 A (RECKITT BENCKISER 30 January 2002 (2002-01-30) the whole document	1–12		
А	WO 03/030677 A (FACO SA; TAYLOR ROY (US); RUSSO KENNETH (US)) 17 April 2003 (2003-04-17) paragraphs '0007!, '0009! - '001	1-12		
Furt	ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	rs are listed in annex.		
° Special ca	after the international filing date conflict with the application but rinciple or theory underlying the			
"E" earlier	dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international data	invention "X" document of particular rele	vance; the claimed invention	
"L" docume	date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or	cannot be considered not involve an inventive step	vel or cannot be considered to when the document is taken alone	
ditatio	is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) in the first publication of the first publication or in the first publication of the first	"Y" document of particular rele cannot be considered to i document is combined wi	evance; the claimed invention involve an inventive step when the ith one or more other such docu-	
other:	means ent published prior to the international filing date but	ments, such combination in the art.	being obvious to a person skilled	
	than the priority date claimed actual completion of the international search	*&* document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report		
8	3 October 2004	0 2. 11. 2004		
Name and	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer		
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Acerbis, G		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Application No 'GB2004/001683

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
GB 2384704	Α	06-08-2003	NONE		
GB 2364502	Α	30-01-2002	AT	259173 T	15-02-2004
			AU	7074601 A	08-01-2002
			BR	0111938 A	13-05-2003
			CA	2413127 A1	16-12-2002
			CN	1440248 T	0';-09-2003
			DE	60102019 D1	18-03-2004
			DE	60102019 T2	15-07-2004
			EP	1294250 A2	26-03-2003
			WO	0200059 A2	03-01-2002
			US	2003200619 A1	30-10-2003
WO 03030677	Α	17-04-2003	WO	03030677 A1	17-04-2003
	• •		CA	2458077 A1	17-04-2003
			EP	1434504 A1	07-07-2004

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001683

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: 13 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box ill Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest X The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 13

The present application does not comply with the requirements of Rule 6.2(a) PCT, because claim 13 refers to the description and to the drawings.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.